Evidence-Based Digital Tools for Weight Loss Maintenance: The NoHoW Project
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Effective interventions and commercial programmes for weight loss (WL) are widely available, but most people regain weight. Few effective WL maintenance (WLM) solutions exist. The most promising evidence-based behaviour change techniques for WLM are self-monitoring, goal setting, action planning and control, building self-efficacy, and techniques that promote autonomous motivation (e.g., provide choice). Stress management and emotion regulation techniques show potential for prevention of relapse and weight regain. Digital technologies (including networked-wireless tracking technologies, online tools and smartphone apps, multimedia resources, and internet-based support) offer attractive tools for teaching and supporting long-term behaviour change techniques. However, many digital offerings for weight management tend not to include evidence-based content and the evidence base is still limited. **The Project:** First, the project examined why, when, and how many European citizens make WL and WLM attempts and how successful they are. Second, the project employed the most up-to-date behavioural science research to develop a digital toolkit for WLM based on 2 key conditions, i.e., self-management (self-regulation and motivation) of behaviour.

The work should be attributed to the School of Psychology, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.
and self-management of emotional responses for WLM. Then, the NoHoW trial tested the efficacy of this digital toolkit in adults who achieved clinically significant (≥5%) WL in the previous 12 months (initial BMI ≥25). The primary outcome was change in weight (kg) at 12 months from baseline. Secondary outcomes included biological, psychological, and behavioural moderators and mediators of long-term energy balance (EB) behaviours, and user experience, acceptability, and cost-effectiveness. Impact: The project will directly feed results from studies on European consumer behaviour, design and evaluation of digital toolkits self-management of EB behaviours into development of new products and services for WLM and digital health. The project has developed a framework and digital architecture for interventions in the context of EB tracking and will generate results that will help inform the next generation of personalised interventions for effective self-management of weight and health.
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### Introduction

Overweight/obesity is one of the greatest societal challenges to the health and wellbeing of European citizens, affecting more than half of the adult population [1]. Obesity prevalence accounts for 3–8% of health costs and 10–13% of deaths in different parts of the region [2]. The overall cost of obesity could be as high as EUR 118–236 billion, because obesity is linked to a range of physical and psychological illnesses [3]. These costs are set to rise in parallel with obesity prevalence [4]. Obesity affects psychological wellbeing, self-esteem, education and employment prospects especially in younger people and women [5, 6]. It is associated with decreases in health-related quality of life and overall life expectancy and greater health care expenditures [7]. The projected health care costs for obesity’s co-morbid conditions such as diabetes are set to escalate in the next few decades, placing potentially unsustainable burdens on health care systems [8].

Complex conditions such as obesity that have a large behavioural component to their development and maintenance do not have simple solutions but require concerted action through multicomponent interventions aimed at both prevention and treatment. Evidence-based interventions and commercial programmes for weight loss (WL) are widely available [9, 10]. Evidence from large samples in the USA, Europe, and globally suggests that approximately 42% of adults report trying to lose weight and 23% of adults report trying to maintain weight annually [11]. Eighty percent of those who achieve clinically significant WL fail to sustain that WL over a period of 12 months [12]. Factors responsible for weight regain include physiological resistance to WL [13], the obesogenic environment [8], individual experiences of stress and life events [14], and a general lack of knowledge on the part of consumers on how to effectively manage energy balance (EB) behaviours (dietary intake and physical activity) [15].

There is now considerable evidence documenting the effects of both non-commercial and commercial behavioural, pharmacological, or surgical interventions on initial WL [9, 10, 16–28]. Diet and lifestyle interventions produce mean WL of < 5 kg after 2–4 years, pharmacological therapies produce mean WL of 5–10 kg over 1–2 years, and surgery tends to yield mean WL of 25–75 kg after 2–4 years [29]. All of these approaches are subject to some degree of longer-term weight regain [17, 30]. Behavioural programmes have central elements of dietary energy restriction, some form of behavioural counselling and support, and some advice regarding physical activity (see below) [31, 32]. For completers of nonsurgical WL clinical trials (i.e., energy-restricted diets, diet plus exercise, meal replacements, a very low-calorie diet, or Orlistat) mean WL of 5–9% occur, plateau at ~6–12 months, and gradually creep upwards between 24 and 48 months (where data is available) [17].

Behaviour change interventions, some available commercially, deliver an initial WL [33–37]. However, long-term WL maintenance (WLM) is more challenging; weight relapse is common [17] and obesity is a chronic relapsing condition [38, 39]. National weight control registries have shown that WLM is possible for thousands of individuals previously diagnosed with obesity, but not probable for most [12, 40, 41]. Several systematic reviews and analyses have identified the critical elements that future interventions must effectively address and described modest effect sizes that need to be amplified to improve longer-term weight outcomes. These include behavioural interventions to change diet, physical activity, and other weight control behaviours through components targeting self-regulation of behaviours, increased autonomous motivation, and self-efficacy [42–45].

### Energy Balance-Related Behaviours and Longer-Term Weight Management

Knowledge has accumulated in recent years regarding the most consistent predictors/mediators of sustained change in EB behaviours, which illustrate the potential to
advance the state of the art in improving obesity management at the individual level. There is evidence that some of the behaviours that lead to WL are continued during WLM and that some additional behaviours are recruited during the period of WLM [46]. The transition from WL to WLM is a dynamic interaction between behavioural strategies to lose weight and the physiological (and environmental) resistance to WL [8, 19, 47], which creates a tonic pull that can undermine the behaviours that led to the initial WL. Thus, those who lose weight are at a high risk for weight regain. Many people who have engaged in an initial WL attempt actually aim to achieve further WL rather than WLM. Many people attempting to maintain their WL therefore experience periods where they revisit strategies they originally used to lose weight, to cope with weight relapse, or to lose further weight.

Longer-term weight management typically involves attempts at achieving sustainable change in habitual eating, activity, and self-regulation behaviours. These changes interact with changes in physiological and emotional systems, which together with aspects of the environment (food marketing, psychosocial stress, work routines, time urgency, and sedentary routines) produce strong influences that promote weight relapse. Thus, there is a need to develop sustainable solutions that prevent weight relapse [38, 48].

**Mechanisms of Action in Behaviour Change Interventions for Longer-Term Weight Management**

WL trajectories slow as time progresses. The exact physiological mechanisms that oppose further WL and often promote weight regain are multiple, complex, individually subtle, and difficult to quantify specifically, although they include changes in energy expenditure, appetite, and energy intake [49–54]. In addition, many people experience behavioural lapses and relapses as more pronounced situational or momentary events. Avoiding both gradual and more pronounced weight regain requires behavioural strategies in which relapse coping and WLM become learned skills of self-regulation, autonomy and motivation [55–59] as part of a longer-term process [38, 60–62]. Evidence suggests that core features of more effective WLM interventions include behaviour change techniques such as self-monitoring (of weight and behaviour), relapse prevention, goal setting, and action plans for diet and physical activity, which improve self-efficacy [44, 58, 63]. Thus, self-regulation of EB behaviours appears to be a key intervention target for longer-term weight management. Physical activity and dietary interventions based on current behaviour change theories characteristically achieve relatively modest effects of over 12 months [64, 65].

Research identifying and linking specific behaviour change approaches or techniques to mechanisms of action of behaviour change interventions is still a developing field [66, 67]. Historically, behaviour change models have focused on social cognition (e.g., beliefs, intentions, attitudes, and decisions), emphasising pathways of reasoned action in which pre-decisional motivation leads to the formation and implementation of intentions as volitional action [68, 69]. It is also believed that automatic processes (emotions, desires, habits resulting from associative learning and physiological states) may also have a large impact on behaviour and behaviour change. These processes tend to be relatively rapid, automatic, impulsive (less conscious), and habitual in comparison to the slow, deliberative processes of motivation and self-regulation [70, 71]. Furthermore, in the context of EB behaviours, the development of self-regulatory behaviour change is sometimes effortful, particularly in the face of a physiological resistance to WL, while unconscious or automatic components of EB behaviours are rapid and effortless [72]. Such processes may have a considerable capacity to undermine the initial self-regulation of EB behaviours (particularly eating behaviours) in the face of a physiological system that resists longer-term WL. Automatic components of self-regulation may also promote longer-term behaviour changes if they are engaged and developed [72–74].

At the outset of the project, we hypothesised that another aspect of automaticity potentially affecting EB behaviours is distress tolerance and emotional responses. Food is a powerful source of pleasure and reward [75–78]. This has consequences for our ability to form planned, reasoned behavioural pathways to manage our weight. People with overweight and obesity commonly experience stigma, which enhances psychosocial stress and negatively impacts physical and mental wellbeing [79–81]. Stigma impacts shame, self-criticism, and unfavourable social comparisons, creating feelings of inferiority and inadequacy in relation to others [82]. Weight management therefore has a large emotional dimension. The relationship between stress, emotion, and food intake can derail strategies of planned behaviour and promote relapse [83, 84]. This is probably why shame and self-criticism are associated with binge eating [85], obesity [79, 80], and problems linked to body image [82, 86, 87]. Acceptance, self-compassion, and mindfulness-based approaches...
may help to address these issues [88] and may help to reduce obesity-related eating behaviours [89, 90]. At the outset of the project we hypothesised that self-monitoring, self-regulation, and autonomous motivation in WLM could favourably be supported by strategies that promote stress management and emotion regulation [88, 91–97].

It is important to note that the majority of studies examining psychological and social predictors of longer-term weight outcomes, as mechanisms of action of behaviour change interventions, have either used pre-treatment predictors [98, 99] or correlates of WL and maintenance rather than sequential measures of outcomes throughout intervention and follow-up periods. Recent evidence suggests that factors influencing changes in behaviours during the course of weight management interventions are important determinants of success of failure at longer-term weight management [44]. It therefore appears (albeit from limited evidence) that it is important to understand processes of change in the self-management of EB behaviours during the course of weight management interventions. This requires longitudinal studies where processes of psychosocial change and EB behaviours are both tracked over time.

Digital Approaches to Weight Management Interventions

There are currently numerous WL mobile applications (apps) available to citizens. Initial opportunistic reviews of the effectiveness of commonly available WL mobile apps available on Apple and Android websites in 2013 showed that they typically included only a minority of the behavioural strategies shown to be effective in evidence-based interventions [100, 101]. In particular, behavioural strategies that help to improve motivation, reduce stress, and improve problem solving were generally missing in...
such apps [101]. A systematic review of weight management apps suggested that alone they produce modest effects on weight and health outcomes and they may be more effective as part of multicomponent interventions [102]. This suggests that most weight management apps previously missed key evidence-based approaches for longer-term behaviour changes. A systematic review and meta-analysis of e-health interventions for the prevention and treatment of obesity found evidence of significant but modest effects of eHealth interventions as a treatment option for obesity, but there was insufficient evidence to suggest that such interventions may be valuable for WLM [103]. A more recent extensive systematic review and meta-analysis assessing the effectiveness of app-based mobile interventions for improving nutritional behaviours and health outcomes found some beneficial effects of goals and planning, feedback and monitoring, shaping knowledge, and social support on both of these outcomes [104]. Other systematic reviews indicate that weight management apps may have positive effects on weight-related outcomes, although the methodological quality of many studies is low [105, 106]. While there is an urgent need for sustainable and cost-effective solutions that are easy and convenient to use for the consumer and manageable for the health care provider, it is important to conduct randomised trials of digital technologies for WLM and to try to understand the mechanisms by which they may influence weight and health outcomes.

**The NoHoW Project**

The NoHoW project was a 5-year European Commission Horizon 2020-funded Research and Innovation Action in the Personalising Health and Care-Self Management of Health and Disease: citizen engagement and mHealth call, focused on developing evidence-based digital technologies and tools for WLM (grant agreement No. 643309; Fig. 2). The project was developed around the most promising evidence-based behaviour change techniques for WLM (self-monitoring, goal setting, action control, autonomous motivation, and also assessment of building self-efficacy) combined with contextual behavioural science approaches to emotion regulation and stress management to facilitate weight-regain prevention. Evidence of effective weight management practices is still limited. To design a digital offering that addresses the needs of European citizens, the project initially examined why a representative sample of European citizens make WL efforts, how often these efforts are made over a year, and the specific methods people use for WL. Information was gained from international surveys of 2,000 consumers in 3 countries, from longitudinal analysis of weight management experience in an existing cohort of 2,000 successful WL maintainers, and from qualitative studies of those engaged in WLM attempts [107]. Because information technology offers cost-effective, scalable, and attractive tools for teaching and supporting these techniques, some of which are currently delivered through resource-intensive face-to-face therapies, the project has developed a digital toolkit (TK) using theoretically informed, evidence-based behaviour change approaches. The project has surveyed digital interventions for WLM in the context of the most up-to-date behavioural science research, using this knowledge to develop a digital TK for WLM based on 2 key conditions, i.e., self-management of behaviour and self-management of emotional responses for WLM. The project targeted adults who have achieved clinically significant (≥5%) WL in the previous 12 months (initial BMI ≥25). It formally tested the efficacy of this digital TK through a large-scale randomised controlled trial, conducted over a 6-month active intervention and subsequent 12-month follow-up, by using a mobile enabled website, activity trackers, and Wi-Fi weight scales. The primary outcome was change in weight (kg) at 12 months from baseline. Secondary outcomes were 12-month changes in body composition (e.g., bio-impedance analysis), health biomarkers (HbA1c, lip-
ids, blood pressure, and hair cortisol), dietary intake, physical activity, sleep, stress, and motivational, self-regulatory, emotion-regulatory moderators/mediators of WLM, as well as user engagement and experience and the acceptability and cost-effectiveness of the intervention. State-of-the-art consumer devices were employed to track physical activity and body weight throughout the trial. Finally, we developed a digital architecture that linked the TK to users, collected and streamed data from participants to a central data hub for storage and analysis, and facilitated trial administration and management. The overall project was based around 5 objectives.

**Objective 1: To Understand How Weight Is Lost and Managed in Europe**

To engage citizens in longer-term weight management, and design evidence-based tools to support them, we characterised weight management practices across Europe. This has established when and how a representative sample of 2,000 Europeans make WL efforts over a year [14, 107]. We also followed an existing cohort of 2,000 successful WL maintainers in a commercial weight management programme longitudinally over 12 months to identify the factors that contribute to successful WLM and collected qualitative insights into self-regulatory behaviours in WLM. In other fields of prevention science, such as smoking cessation, the answers to these questions are known and have contributed to progress in self-management and improved health [108]. The project aimed to fill this gap for obesity, WL, and WLM.

**Objective 2: To Develop an ICT-Enabled Toolkit to Support Weight Loss Maintenance**

We have developed a digital TK of behaviour change tools to support users in maintaining their WL (Fig. 3). These tools cover: (1) self-regulation and motivational skills to promote volitional aspects of WLM and (2) emotion regulation and stress management to improve eating control. The techniques in each condition were implemented as a “menu” or portfolio of ICT-enabled tools (tracking devices, mobile phone applications [apps], websites, and multimedia resources). Within each condition, participants were reminded to visit the TK to complete sessions and could, to a limited extent, choose the sequence and number of times they accessed TK components. Participants were asked to wear the tracking devices (Fitbit Charge 2) continuously (except during charging and water-based activities) and weigh themselves twice a week (Fitbit Aria cloud-connected scales). The digital TK was linked to a central data hub, which: (1) aggregated data from all the measures made on each user; (2) supported limited tracking, feedback, and behavioural

---

Fig. 3. Conceptual basis for the development of the NoHoW TK and its intended impact on the cycle of WL and weight regain.
signature profiling; and (3) provided statistical models and analyses of the intervention outcomes. User evaluation of the TK was measured through a user-centred design approach [109–111].

Objective 3: To Evaluate the Impact of the NoHoW Toolkit on Weight Loss Maintenance

Through a $2 \times 2$ factorial randomised controlled intervention (arm 1: active control, arm 2: self-regulation + motivation, arm 3: emotion regulation, and arm 4: self-regulation plus emotion regulation) in 1,627 adults with overweight and/or obesity who successfully lost > 5% weight in the past 1 year, moderators and mediators of weight outcomes will be identified [112] (Fig. 4). The efficacy and cost-effectiveness of the TK and multiple mediation analyses of processes of behaviour change will be reported in separate publications.

Objective 4: To Identify Predictive Signatures of Weight Relapse and Maintenance

Through statistical analysis and modelling of primary and secondary outcome data in the data hub, we will relate psychological and behavioural outcomes to tracked EB behaviours [113], sleep [114], and weight change. This will establish a foundation for future predictive decision support systems that match user profiles to TK development strategies for WLM.

Objective 5: Inform Future Digital Interventions for Longer-Term Weight Management

We will use information gathered from the consumer experience/needs analysis, from the TK development, and from the RCT to inform future design of hardware and software solutions for health behaviour change in primary care and commercial settings.

Overall Approach and Methodology

The project began with work package 1 (WP1), where we established how weight is lost and managed in European citizens through a pan-European survey of 2,000 consumers to establish why, when, and how many European citizens make WL efforts over a year, how often these efforts are made, and the specific methods people use. We also established how WL successes and weight...
relapses relate to socioeconomic, cultural, and specific lifestyle contexts. We determined how many of these efforts are successful as defined by a WL of $\geq 5\%$ sustained for at least 12 months. These data informed the design of the digital TK for weight management [14, 107].

We have also conducted 12-month repeat longitudinal measures of weight management experience in an existing cohort of 2,000 successful WL maintainers attending Slimming World™. The infrastructure to identify these participants and regularly follow-up their weight was established through the regular data monitoring architecture of Slimming World™ [115]. Finally, we explored self-regulation of food intake in Danish WL maintainers and the potential of NoHoW to attract user groups through qualitative research methods [116].

In WP2 we developed and validated the NoHoW TK and its specific components (Fig. 3). WP2 has been informed by: (1) user needs and requirements from WP1, (2) knowledge from behaviour change theory and known applications, (3) research evidence on predictors of WLM, and (4) previous experience from project partners in designing health-related ICT-based tools, for example [117]. This WP added self-tracking technologies (FitBit Charge 2 and Aria scales) for continuous tracking of physical activity and body weight. Throughout the trial the University of Leeds has been working on relating these measures to criterion measures of energy expenditure and storage through complex mathematical modelling [118]. Interactive tools were created for delivering the intervention content on self-regulation/motivational aspects of WLM and emotion regulation based on logic models derived using evidence from recent systematic reviews [42, 43, 119–121]. The full description of the TK will be given in a separate publication.

In WP3 and WP4 we delivered an RCT to evaluate the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of the TK (Fig. 4). The full protocol for the trial is described in a separate publication [112]. WP3 developed the protocol for the NoHoW trial and: (1) finalised trial design, management, study population and sample size (inclusion/exclusion criteria), recruitment strategy, randomisation, outcome measurement, and process evaluation; (2) harmonised intervention components, measures, and data export at the 3 centres; and (3) piloted standard operating procedures for all intervention components, measures, and data export at the 3 intervention centres. The RCT was a 4-arm, $2 \times 2$ factorial intervention designed to evaluate the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of the NoHoW Platform on WLM in 1,627 adults with overweight/obesity (BMI of 28–40, aged 18–65 years, who had lost $\geq 5\%$ of their weight in the last 12 months) at 3 intervention centres in the UK (Leeds), Portugal (Lisbon), and Denmark (Copenhagen). The factorial structure is a major step forward from conventional 2-armed trials. It allows tests of 3 main hypoth-

Fig. 5. Schematic diagram of the overall digital architecture of the NoHoW project.
eses, i.e., (1) effects of self-regulation intervention, (2) effect of emotional/stress regulation, and (3) potential synergistic effects of delivering both together. Secondary moderation analysis will test if baseline features predict who is more likely to benefit from each of the interventions. The 4 arms of the trial enable us to examine if specific combinations of digitally delivered TK components affect WLM success over and above frequent self-monitoring. WP4 delivered the NoHoW trial at the 3 intervention centres through intervention delivery, monitoring, governance and ethics, health economics, database management, and statistical analysis. This WP was responsible for conducting the intervention and follow-up with final measures to monitor the effect of the intervention. The main results of the NoHoW intervention will be given in a separate publication.

WP5 managed the overall digital architecture of the project and created a central data storage facility whereby all data collected during the project was delivered, cleaned, and stored securely for the duration of the project and 20 years thereafter (Fig. 5). The data hub received and deposited data during the intervention and made available all data generated in the project (WP1–4 and 6). This database has been set up in Edinburgh, Scotland, with links to the TK management centre (WP2) for automated data feed in from the TK as used by participants in the intervention. At the end of the intervention, WP5 provided the primary statistical analysis of the RCT outcomes and health economics analysis to feed into WP6, the development of the business model for the NoHoW project.

WP6 was responsible for the future exploitation planning for TK v2.0, as well as for managing confidentiality/IP and initial development of a stakeholder network focused on long-term behaviour change, relapse prevention, and WLM. Exploitation planning activities explored how to make results, insights, and technologies from NoHoW available across different channels based on their commercialisation and impact potential.

WP7 promoted, communicated, and disseminated project findings to identified target audiences (general public, researchers and other projects, clinicians, public health decision makers, and health care sector) through on-going research publications, educational and guidance/policy/health promotion materials, presentations, and podcasts.

WP8 was responsible for project management, i.e., setting goals, monitoring progress, identification of issues and solutions, and orchestrating all partners and work-packages as a coherent whole (Fig. 6). This WP acted as a liaison with the EU, handled administration, deliverables, and reports, and addressed project-wide issues such as quality, intellectual property and intra-team communication.

**Advancing the State of the Art**

The NoHoW project has gathered an evidence base of weight management practices across Europe and around the world [11] and longitudinally tracked psychological predictors of WLM in a large cohort of commercial weight management participants who have achieved a significant amount of WL and are explicitly seeking to maintain that loss [14, 107].

The NoHoW TK is a research grade technology that, where possible, has used commercially available solutions (Fitbit activity and weight trackers and Qualtrics questionnaires) to improve the experience of participants engaged in its evaluation. The TK is based on: (1) evidence-based state-of-art theories of behaviour change, including techniques associated with self-regulation skills (self-regulation theory), building autonomous motivation (self-determination theory), and emotional regulation and stress management, and (2) web design expertise and (3) user testing. Informed by the guidelines for the development of complex behaviour change interventions and principles applied in previous theory-based behaviour change interventions, we have used systematic approaches to the translation of theory and evidence from face-to-face interventions to digital intervention components.
This included: (1) theory selection and development of the content logic models, (2) TK guiding principles and selection of the intervention techniques, (3) translating these techniques into the TK components, (4) TK technical development, and (5) user evaluation and TK refinement. The NoHoW TK was hypothesised to influence mechanisms of action that may impact EB behaviours and longer-term weight outcomes. We have developed personalised feedback equations that, based on individual weight changes and activity behaviour (measured by the Fitbit devices), informed participants about what seemed to have worked for their individual weight journey, and we applied machine learning algorithms to predict EE from steps, heart rate, and subject characteristics in a range of activities, compared to indirect calorimetry [118]. These estimates of EE can be used in conjunction with (weekly) tracked body weight and the NIDDK equations for estimating changes in energy intake over time [122, 123].

NoHoW is the first project to develop and formally evaluate (via RCT) a digital TK combining continuous tracking of EB behaviours and body weight with theoretically informed, evidence-based digital interventions targeting self-regulation and motivation, and emotion regulation/stress management for longer-term weight management. Analyses of primary outcomes test the hypotheses that self-regulation of EB behaviours improves longer-term weight outcomes and that emotion regulation and stress management strategies help prevent weight relapse. Trial moderator analysis will determine if different psychological and behavioural profiles of participants predict the response to self-regulation or emotion-regulation interventions. Mediation analyses will determine evidence for mechanisms of action by which motivation and self-regulation and stress and emotion regulation-based behaviour change approaches may affect EB behaviours, weight, and health. User experience and tracking of user engagement with the intervention will provide data on the acceptability of digital interventions for longer-term weight management. Secondary analyses will inform more personalised digital interventions that better match intervention content and delivery to individual user needs, potentially helping to improve user engagement and the health and wellbeing of participants. The NoHoW digital architecture tracks user engagement with the intervention and relates this via the intervention logic models to moderators and mediators of any intervention effects. These behaviour change models will be evaluated in the context of objectively tracked EB behaviours. These approaches, in combination, will help to inform next-generation weight management interventions in which intervention content and delivery can be better adjusted to the needs of specific individuals.

**Expected Impacts**

Management and maintenance of WL are difficult and rely heavily on supporting long-term individual engagement in behaviour change interventions for weight management [40], which are subject to relapse. Digital technologies, especially the use of mobile devices and online tools [124], may have the potential to enhance initial weight control attempts and facilitate self-management. NoHoW has evaluated the potential of evidence-based digital behaviour change approaches to longer-term weight management and identified important limitations to such approaches. This information is needed to develop the next generation of personalised behaviour change solutions for self-management of weight and health.

The co-design of the NoHoW TK has been informed by user engagement and experience-centred design approaches [110, 111] that will help to evaluate the strengths and limitations of the NoHoW TK. This is critical in developing new tools that facilitate personalised behavioural approaches to WL and inform future interventions where individuals become co-managers of their own weight, health, and wellbeing.

The NoHoW project has enhanced our understanding of the strengths and limitations of digital approaches to weight management. The design of the NoHoW TK logic models and tracking of EB behaviours will improve our understanding of the mechanisms by which WL facilitates subsequent weight regain as a context in which behaviour change interventions attempt to operate. It is important for the research community to take stock of the reasons why promising theories for behaviour change interventions for WL do not produce much beyond modest effects. There is a great deal to learn about why such interventions do not yet work as well as we hope they would. Considerable insight could be gained from detailed structured analyses of why WL interventions do not work at the experiential rather than theoretical level. This will enable us to articulate the tension between self-regulation of EB behaviours and the factors that undermine it [19, 47]. Only by clearly delineating the pathways and mechanisms of probabilistic failure will we be able to better understand how to make people more successful at longer-term WL.
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